Spring 2017 Visual Sociology

Course Evaluations

DESCRIPTION:

- **Overview**. This panel provides a statistical snapshot of students' evaluations of the course as well as statistical rating of the section teacher (Q11).

- Q10 Narrative. Students' narrative evaluations of the course teaching fellow.

- Q11 Likert Scale. Students' rating of the course teaching fellow.

SELECT A COURSE > SOCY 169 01 Print Help Logout							ut
Instru	g 2017 SOCY 169 01 - Visual Sociology uctors	Primary Dept SOCY		Primary Division Social Sciences		Enrolled: Responded:	13 9
	Average and Standard Deviation				viation		
#	Question	Instructor	Course	Dept.	Division	School	
1	What knowledge, skills, and insights did you develop by taking this	course? Smith, Philip					Narrative
2	Your level of engagement with the course was: 1-very low, 2-low, 3-medium, 4-high, 5-very high	Smith, Philip	3.8±0.8	3.6±1.0	3.5±1.0	3.7±1.0	
3	What are the strengths and weaknesses of this course and how co improved?	uld it be Smith, Philip					<u>Narrativ</u>
4	What is your overall assessment of this course? 1-poor, 2-fair, 3-good, 4-very good, 5-excellent	Smith, Philip	3.8±1.2	3.7±1.2	3.7±1.1	3.8±1.1	Ы
5	The course was well organized to facilitate student learning. 1-strongly disagree, 2-disagree, 3-neutral, 4-agree, 5-strongly agree	Smith, Philip	3.9±1.2	3.9±1.0	3.9±1.0	3.9±1.0	
6	I received clear feedback that improved my learning. 1-strongly disagree, 2-disagree, 3-neutral, 4-agree, 5-strongly agree	Smith, Philip	4.0±1.0	3.8±1.0	3.6±1.1	3.8±1.1	
7	Relative to other courses you have taken at Yale, the level of intelle challenge of this course was: 1-much less, 2-less, 3-same, 4-greater, 5-much greater	ectual Smith, Philip	2.8±1.0	3.1±1.0	3.2±0.9	3.3±0.9	ah
8	Relative to other courses you have taken at Yale, the workload of t was: 1-much less, 2-less, 3-same, 4-greater, 5-much greater	his course Smith, Philip	2.7±0.5	3.0±0.9	2.9±1.0	3.2±1.0	ı
9	Would you recommend this course to another student? Please exp	olain. Smith, Philip					<u>Narrativ</u>
10	Summarize the strengths and weaknesses of the Teaching Fellow. was their teaching effective and in what ways could their teaching	Champagne Ann	e				<u>Narrativ</u>
11	What is your overall assessment of the Teaching Fellow? 1-poor, 2-fair, 3-good, 4-very good, 5-excellent	Champagne, Ann	e 4.3±1.0	4.1±1.1	4.0±1.1	4.1±1.1	. 1

Q10. Summarize the strengths and weaknesses of the Teaching Fellow. In what ways was their teaching effective and in what ways could their teaching be improved?

Instructor: Champagne, Anne Marie Respons

Responses for Instructor: 4

Declined to Answer Question: 5

Respondent	Answer			
1165912	Anne Marie was extremely helpful with my final project especially and always gave great input in class.			
1166163	Anne Marie was one of the best things about this class. She always helped me and answered all my questions, even helping me structure each essay. I wouldn't have been able to succeed in this class without her! She always made herself available to her students and pushed us to do better.			
1176930	Very committed, engaged and enthusiastic TF. Felt like she could have been running the class.			
1184388	Anne Marie's enthusiasm for the subject matter was infectious and exciting as a student. Her ideas were always plentiful, and raised my own to the next level. However, I wonder if she gets too caught up in the jargon of sociology, which makes it difficult for students to learn from her. My main complaint with Anne Marie is that she would constantly interrupt students during class discussion - and not to share anything constructive, but to make jokes or ask specific or irrelevant questions that didn't supplement what the student was saying. This was detrimental to class discussion.			

Q11.

What is your overall assessment of the Teaching Fellow?

Instructor: Champagne, Anne

Responses for Instructor: 9

Declined to Answer Question: 0

