DESCRIPTION:
- **Overview**. This panel provides a statistical snapshot of students' evaluations of the course as well as statistical rating of the section teacher (Q11).
- **Q10 Narrative**. Students' narrative evaluations of the course teaching fellow.
- **Q11 Likert Scale**. Students' rating of the course teaching fellow.

Yale University *OCE Dashboard*

SELECT A COURSE > **SOCY S216 01**   Print | Help | Student Viewer | Logout

Term	**Course**	**Primary Dept**	**Enrolled:**	23
Summer 2020	SOCY S216 01 - Social Movements	SOCY	**Responded:**	14

Instructors
Baumann, Roger (P)

#	Question	Instructor	Course Avg/Std.Dev	Dept. Avg/Std.Dev	Division Avg/Std.Dev	School Avg/Std.Dev	Question Type
1	What knowledge, skills, and insights did you develop by taking this course?	Baumann, Roger	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	*Narrative*
2	Your level of engagement with the course was: *1-Very Low, 2-Low, 3-Medium, 4-High, 5-Very High*	Baumann, Roger	3.8±0.9	3.9±1.0	N/A	4.1±0.9	
3	What are the strengths and weaknesses of this course and how could it be improved?	Baumann, Roger	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	*Narrative*
4	What is your overall assessment of this course? *1-Poor, 2-Fair, 3-Good, 4-Very Good, 5-Excellent*	Baumann, Roger	3.9±0.9	4.1±1.0	N/A	4.1±0.9	
5	The course was well organized to facilitate student learning. *1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree*	Baumann, Roger	4.1±0.8	4.3±0.9	N/A	4.3±0.8	
6	I received clear feedback that improved my learning. *1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree*	Baumann, Roger	4.7±0.5	4.4±0.7	N/A	4.1±0.9	
7	Relative to other courses you have taken at Yale, the level of intellectual challenge of this course was: *1-Much Less, 2-Less, 3-Same, 4-Greater, 5-Much Greater*	Baumann, Roger	2.9±1.0	3.2±0.9	N/A	3.3±0.8	
8	Relative to other courses you have taken at Yale, the workload of this course was: *1-Much Less, 2-Less, 3-Same, 4-Greater, 5-Much Greater*	Baumann, Roger	3.1±0.7	3.3±0.8	N/A	3.3±0.9	
9	Would you recommend this course to another student? Please explain.	Baumann, Roger	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	*Narrative*
10	Summarize the strengths and weaknesses of the Teaching Fellow. In what ways was their teaching effective and in what ways could their teaching be improved?	Champagne, Anne	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	*Narrative*
11	What is your overall assessment of the Teaching Fellow? *1-Poor, 2-Fair, 3-Good, 4-Very Good, 5-Excellent*	Champagne, Anne	4.5±0.7	4.3±0.9	N/A	4.3±0.9	
12	The Canvas course site allowed me to identify and access required course materials easily.	Baumann, Roger	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	*Narrative Choice*

Q10. Summarize the strengths and weaknesses of the Teaching Fellow. In what ways was their teaching effective and in what ways could their teaching be improved?

Instructor: Champagne, Anne Marie   **Responses for Instructor:** 10   **Declined to Answer Question:** 3

Respondent	Answer
1442672	Anne Marie was communicative, passionate, and kind. She was a fantastic TF!
1442697	Strengths: amazingly detailed feedback on papers, created an accessible and approachable environment. Weaknesses: often took up a lot of space in discussions, re-centering around her own experiences when POC/LGBTQ students shared their own experiences and critiques of the class, uncritically shared materials that demeaned the humanity of students in the course (Margaret Mead book).
1442702	Anne Marie is a great TA--she is also very supportive and helpful, and very sweet when maintaining the chat function. I like to hear her sharing opinions in the topics we are discussing, they are really great. One thing to mention is that, her feedback for the assignments are really helpful and sweet as well. She always replies our email, and always give the answers and help we want. I could identify no weaknesses, and please maintain such sweetness!
1443088	Anne Marie gave really helpful feedback on papers and did a good job facilitating class discussion over zoom. She put in a lot of effort and genuinely cared about us and the material! I would say though that similarly to Professor Baumann she didn't always approach discussions racial issues in the most thoughtful way.
1443096	I can only sing praises about Anne Champagne. She was instrumental in facilitating group discussions, taking charge of the queue. Furthermore, she ensured that the class stayed engaged, and did the little things to make our lives easier. For instance, she often contributed to break-out room discussions, sharing insights, and improving the understanding of the material. Overall, I appreciate how both the instructor and TA actively showed care for the students. I felt welcome in the class setting through both of their efforts.
1443208	Anne Marie helps me a lot, especially on my writing assignments. She is super patient and truly cares about her students! I can't come up with any weakness in her.
1443342	Anne-Marie was a phenomenal TA! She was so incredibly supportive and provided detailed criticism to improve our writing
1443596	Great at giving feedback for writing assignments! Great at moderating class discussions and ensuring clarity.

1443615	Anne was really patient and great at leading our conversations. She gives detailed feedbacks on my writing which helped me improve a lot. I wish she could engage in our small discussion groups more to lead our small conversations.

| 1443753	Excellent teaching fellow, obviously thoughtful and caring, provided great feedback on papers. Often filled in the gaps when student questions about nuances/tensions in our curriculum went somewhat unanswered by prof Baumann

Q11.

What is your overall assessment of the Teaching Fellow?

Instructor: Champagne, Anne

Responses for Instructor: 13
Declined to Answer Question: 0

Question Average	Dept	School
4.5 ±0.7	4.3 ±0.9	4.3 ±0.9

Choice Label	Counts
Poor	0
Fair	0
Good	1
Very Good	4
Excellent	8