## **DESCRIPTION:**

- **Overview**. This panel provides a statistical snapshot of students' evaluations of the course as well as statistical rating of the section teacher (Q11).
- Q10 Narrative. Students' narrative evaluations of the course teaching fellow.
- Q11 Likert Scale. Students' rating of the course teaching fellow.

Yale University OCE Dashboard								
SELECT A COURSE > SOCY 152 01 Print   Help   Logout								
Term Course Spring 2019 SOCY 152 01 - Topics InContemp Social Theory  Instructors Gorski, Phillip (P)		<b>Primary Dept</b> SOCY	<b>Primary Division</b> Social Sciences			Enrolled: Responded:	6 5	
			Average and Standard Deviation					
#	Question	Instructor	Course	Dept.	Division	School		
1	What knowledge, skills, and insights did you develop by taking this course?	Gorski, Philip					<u>Narrative</u>	
2	Your level of engagement with the course was: 1-very low, 2-low, 3-medium, 4-high, 5-very high	Gorski, Philip	4.4±0.9	4.6±0.7	4.0±1.0	4.1±0.9	_	
3	What are the strengths and weaknesses of this course and how could it be improved?	Gorski, Philip					<u>Narrativ</u>	
4	What is your overall assessment of this course? 1-poor, 2-fair, 3-good, 4-very good, 5-excellent	Gorski, Philip	4.0±0.7	4.4±0.7	4.0±1.0	4.2±0.9		
5	The course was well organized to facilitate student learning.  1-strongly disagree, 2-disagree, 3-neutral, 4-agree, 5-strongly agree	Gorski, Philip	4.6±0.5	4.8±0.5	4.2±0.8	4.2±0.9		
6	I received clear feedback that improved my learning. 1-strongly disagree, 2-disagree, 3-neutral, 4-agree, 5-strongly agree	Gorski, Philip	4.8±0.4	4.8±0.5	4.1±1.0	4.2±1.0		
7	Relative to other courses you have taken at Yale, the level of intellectual challenge of this course was:  1-much less, 2-less, 3-same, 4-greater, 5-much greater	Gorski, Philip	3.6±0.5	3.4±0.5	3.3±0.8	3.4±0.8	_1	
8	Relative to other courses you have taken at Yale, the workload of this course was:  1-much less, 2-less, 3-same, 4-greater, 5-much greater	Gorski, Philip	3.0±0.7	3.0±0.8	3.2±0.8	3.3±0.8	.1.	
9	Would you recommend this course to another student? Please explain.	Gorski, Philip					Narrativ	
10	Summarize the strengths and weaknesses of the Teaching Fellow. In what ways was their teaching effective and in what ways could their teaching be improved?	Champagne, Anne					<u>Narrativ</u>	
11	What is your overall assessment of the Teaching Fellow? 1-poor, 2-fair, 3-good, 4-very good, 5-excellent	Champagne, Anne	5.0±0.0	4.8±0.5	3.9±1.0	4.3±1.0		

Q10. Summarize the strengths and weaknesses of the Teaching Fellow. In what ways was their teaching effective and in what ways could their teaching be improved?

Instructor: Champagne, Anne Marie Responses for Instructor: 19 Declined to Answer Question: 11

Responden	Answer				
1326190	Amazing TF - provided wonderful feedback that really pushed me to become a better writer and thinker. One of the best TFs I've ever had.				
1335851	Great! She was extraordinarily helpful in thinking through concepts and engaging with student work. Highly recommended.				
1339449	Anne Marie was a wonderful Teaching Fellow who contributed invaluably to in-class discussions and with facilitating comfort with the subject matter every week. Her feedback was always charitable but meaningful. I hope in the future she's more comfortable sharing her wide frame of reference in classes. Loved having her!				
1341708	Anne Marie is amazing! She is always so ready to help you with your assignments and is always so excited about your ideas. Nothing bad to say about her.				
1343531	Anne Marie was incredibly patient with the students, reading through outlines/drafts, and providing valuable feedback that improved our papers. Her in-class comments were also very useful for providing another perspective on the theories we read. An excellent TF overall.				

## Q11.

What is your overall assessment of the Teaching Fellow?

